



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,779	03/23/2004	John Gerard Speare	MS#304047.01 (\$226)	2381
38779	7590	07/17/2007		
SENNIGER POWERS (MSFT) ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE, 16TH FLOOR ST. LOUIS, MO 63102			EXAMINER VO, TED T	
			ART UNIT 2191	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 07/17/2007	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/806,779	SPEARE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ted T. Vo	2191	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ted T. Vo. (3)\_\_\_\_\_

(2) Tan-Chi Yuan, Applicants' representative. (4)\_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 02 July 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: SealedMedia License Server.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
 TED VO  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Yuan, Applicants' representative, sent a proposal of amendment for claims 1 and 11, amended for 112 second issue. Mr. Yuan also addressed a feature in the claim that performs the content replacement, and Mr. Yuan addressed that the reference does not do replacing a portion of the content in its electronic document when the content of the electronic document exceeded the rights of the management protection. No agreement has been made, where Examiner required a formal record that explains Mr. Yuan's addressing, i.e. the patentable differences between the claimed recitation and the reference teaching.

The content in the first page of the interview agenda is attached:

### Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/806,779 First Named Applicant: Daniel Plastina et al.  
 Examiner: Ted Vo Art Unit: 2191 Status of Application: Pending  
 Attorney Docket No.: MS#304047.01 (5226)

**Tentative Participants:**

(1) (Arthur) Tan-Chi Yuan (2) Examiner Vo

3) \_\_\_\_\_ (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Proposed Date of Interview: July 2, 2007 Proposed Time: 3:00 PM EST

**Type of Interview Requested:**

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

### Issues To Be Discussed

Issues Agreed	Claims	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not
		SealedMedia	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>


**Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:**

Discussion of proposed draft amendment and the SealedMedia reference.

**NOTE:**

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.



\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Arthur) Tan-Chi Yuan

L0113

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Registration Number, if applicable)